



Collaboration between Local Government and the Private Sector in Improving Public Infrastructure

Kennywan Leo Arischa^{1*}, Aldri Frinaldi², Lince Magriasti³

^{1,2,3}Master of Public Administration, Universitas Negeri Padang, Padang, Indonesia

Coressponding: arischakennywanleo@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Collaboration; Local Government; Private Sector; Public Infrastructure.

ABSTRACT

This study examines the partnership between local government and the private sector in enhancing public infrastructure by thoroughly analyzing existing literature. Robust public infrastructure is crucial in stimulating economic expansion and fostering communal well-being. The collaboration between the public and private sectors, mainly through public-private partnerships (PPPs), provides creative ideas and ensures long-term financial viability. This study examines several collaboration models, their effectiveness, obstacles, and supportive factors based on the findings from existing literature. These findings offer valuable direction for the government and other stakeholders in designing, developing, and overseeing public infrastructure projects that are both efficient and environmentally sustainable.

1. Introduction

Enhancing public infrastructure is a crucial factor in improving community well-being (Pramono & Mulia, 2023). Robust infrastructure has a pivotal role in stimulating economic advancement and improving individuals' well-being by bolstering consumption's value, boosting labor productivity, and facilitating access to employment prospects (Selian & McKnight, 2017). Nevertheless, multiple studies indicate that infrastructure construction frequently neglects significant factors, such as community engagement and local cultural values. This study aims to analyze the partnership between local government and the private sector in enhancing the development of public infrastructure, with a focus on cultural values and involvement of the local population. Conducting this research is crucial as it can offer a fresh outlook on public infrastructure construction that goes beyond technical issues and takes into account social, cultural, and community engagement factors. Furthermore, it is anticipated that the research findings can offer significant insights and

guidance to the government and other relevant parties in designing, developing, and overseeing public infrastructure projects that are both more effective and environmentally friendly.

The collaboration between local governments and corporate companies through Public-corporate Partnerships (PPPs) has emerged as a crucial approach to enhancing public infrastructure. This is primarily motivated by the demand for inventive solutions and long-term financial viability. Research indicates that working together can improve project performance, particularly in cost, time, quality, and innovation. This has been observed in the Dutch DBFM infrastructure project (Koppenjan et al., 2022). Nevertheless, the achievement of these collaborations depends on proficient contract administration and explicit job definition to avoid conflicts of interest and disparities in power, as demonstrated by the Quayside smart city project in Toronto (Nelischer, 2024). Within the realm of ICT infrastructure, governments like Nepal exhibit a bias toward foreign corporations and distribute risks to the private sector. However, this

¹arischakennywanleo@gmail.com

approach might give rise to opportunistic conduct that risks project outcomes (Acharya et al., 2022).

The study conducted in China demonstrates a substantial concern regarding the potential for public-private partnerships (PPPs) to exacerbate local government debt, particularly in comprehensive urban development and environmental protection projects (Fu et al., 2022). Robust supervision and a robust framework for managing operations are essential for minimizing these risks and guaranteeing long-term financial viability (Liu, 2021). Furthermore, the interplay between relational contracts in public sector projects demonstrates that the internal organizational structure and culture substantially impact the effectiveness of external collaboration (Bresnen & Lennie, 2023). Although there are difficulties, Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) continue to be a feasible choice for creating intelligent infrastructure as long as the management of stakeholders, transparency, and a focus on the needs of citizens are given priority. This has been exemplified in the Hong Kong infrastructure project (Jayasena et al., 2023). Nevertheless, the expected advantages of collaborative initiatives between public and private sectors, namely in children's social services in the UK, may not always materialize. This highlights the crucial role of effective contract management and performance monitoring. In summary, the effectiveness of PPPs in enhancing public infrastructure is contingent upon meticulous planning, unambiguous contractual arrangements, and efficient governance.

Municipal authorities can entice private capital for public infrastructure projects by effectively addressing many crucial aspects and implementing well-thought-out strategic initiatives. Initially, it is essential to establish a conducive business climate that can mitigate the influence of budgetary constraints, land resources, and economic disparities on attracting commercial investments (Wang et al., 2024). Using multi-criteria analysis for infrastructure project proposals can help prioritize and advocate for investments systematically, assuring cost-effectiveness and meeting local requirements (Denham et al., 2023). Political motivations are significant since politicians are

more inclined to endorse costly expenditures if they perceive voters will acknowledge their advantages. This process can be aided by the coverage of infrastructure matters in local news (Mullin & Hansen, 2023). Designating cities as Special Economic Zones with customized fiscal measures can incentivize private sector investment in low-income economies (Allam & Jones, 2019). Public-private partnerships (PPPs) are a practical and successful approach for addressing deficiencies in public infrastructure and achieving cost-effectiveness. However, it is crucial to prioritize transparency and adhere to financial norms to ensure their efficacy (Wegrzyn et al., 2019).

Overcoming technical, organizational, economic, financial, political, and legal constraints is crucial in developing nations to encourage private sector involvement in urban infrastructure projects (Tamošaitienė et al., 2020). The UK has demonstrated that enhancing regulatory circumstances can lead to increased participation from the private sector (Panayiotou & Medda, 2014). Effective risk allocation in public-private partnerships (PPPs), where the public sector assumes external and internal risks from the private sector, can promote private investment by enhancing efficiency (Engel et al., 2022). Local governments should exercise caution when launching improper public-private partnership (PPP) initiatives due to financial demands. This is evident in China, where such projects frequently encounter withdrawal. By addressing these aspects, local governments can foster a favorable environment for attracting private investment in infrastructure development.

Public infrastructure is essential for promoting economic progress and societal well-being. It achieves this by lowering transaction costs, enhancing communication, and fostering social capital. This is evident from the historical influence of postal systems in the United States and Western Europe (Rogowski et al., 2022). Investments in transport infrastructure, such as roads and railways, have been proven to influence economic development substantially. They enhance connections and decrease costs, improving public sector performance and economic growth (Cigu et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the correlation between

infrastructure and economic growth is intricate and differs depending on the geographical area and the specific type of infrastructure. For instance, in Belgium, extensive transportation networks facilitate economic activity, but it also leads to traffic congestion and negative externalities (Meersman & Nazemzadeh, 2017). Poor infrastructure in developing countries like Nigeria can impede industrial expansion. The industrial sector in Nigeria has been adversely affected by inadequate infrastructure (Oghenebrume & Oluleye, 2019). Conversely, in Pakistan, the advancement of road infrastructure has positively impacted economic growth. However, this progress has resulted in a rise in carbon emissions, emphasizing the dilemma between promoting prosperity and maintaining environmental quality (Sharif & Tauqir, 2021).

Public infrastructure expenditures are crucial in addressing geographic disparities and fostering fair economic development in African countries. These investments provide access to essential services and facilitate connectivity between rural and urban regions (Ndulu, 2006). In low-income nations, reallocating public spending from infrastructure to education can enhance growth, mainly when the quality of governance hampers the impact of infrastructure investments (Acosta-Ormaechea & Morozumi, 2017). Ensuring the durability and effectiveness of public assets by maintaining existing infrastructure is crucial for maximizing output growth and reducing inequality (Gibson & Rioja, 2017). To achieve sustained economic growth and enhance public welfare, high-quality infrastructure investments must be made, and public spending must be effectively managed through strategic maintenance and reallocation (Cervero, 2009).

The state of public infrastructure in Indonesia exhibits significant disparities among different regions shaped by historical, economic, and geographical reasons. The fast urbanization in Jakarta has resulted in the implementing of new governance structures in transportation and housing. These structures, known as Public-Public Partnerships, have been pushed by speculative investments from State-Owned Enterprises to transform Jakarta into a 'world-class city' (Anguelov, 2023). Conversely, in Surabaya, the metropolitan core that experienced

a decline in industrial activity, citizens utilized the remnants of ancient infrastructure to offer crucial public transportation services, showcasing a bottom-up approach to infrastructure utilization (Peters, 2023). The West Jakarta Youth and Sports Office is seeing difficulties in managing infrastructure due to the manual handling of data, which necessitates implementing a more effective on-site system (Rahmayu et al., 2021). At the national level, the Indonesian government has introduced 'good governance' measures to promote infrastructure development. However, the impact of these measures differs (K. Kim, 2023).

The concentration of ICT infrastructure in urban regions hampers economic and technological development in rural areas (Ishizaki & Huang, 2011). Historically, centralized administrations in Indonesia have implemented economic infrastructure modernization without considering local requirements and have promoted a development approach directed from the top (Errington, 2014). Infrastructure projects that are privately financed, such as those implemented through BOT/PPP arrangements, are frequently seen. However, to attract investment, these projects necessitate the provision of collateral (Wibowo & Kochendoerfer, 2011). The nation's susceptibility to natural calamities, particularly earthquakes, highlights the necessity for sturdy infrastructure, while adherence to seismic regulations remains irregular (Pribadi et al., 2021). Infrastructure development is made more complex by the regional variances in construction productivity. There are notable variations in output, capabilities, and motivation among regions like Jakarta, Yogyakarta, and East Java (Kaming et al., 1997). Despite government efforts to stimulate private investment through annual master plans, the underdeveloped natural gas infrastructure hinders economic growth and energy security (Hutagalung et al., 2017). Indonesia's public infrastructure landscape is vast and diverse, necessitating customized ways to tackle regional demands and issues.

Indonesia's public infrastructure confronts numerous obstacles, from swift urbanization natural calamities, and structural inefficiencies. Jakarta, the capital city, is a prime example of this issue, as an enormous population

inundates its infrastructure, a high number of automobiles, and pollution, which is worsened by rapid urbanization (Marshall, 2005). The city is facing the challenge of considerable land subsidence resulting from excessive groundwater extraction, leading to the deterioration of buildings and infrastructure (Colven, 2020). Moreover, Indonesia's geographical position at the meeting point of multiple tectonic plates renders it exceptionally susceptible to earthquakes. These seismic events have historically resulted in substantial harm to infrastructure due to the failure to adhere to seismic resistance regulations and standards, unfavorable ground conditions, and the presence of other perils such as tsunamis and landslides (Pribadi et al., 2021). The government's attempts to promote infrastructure development through changes aimed at improving governance have not entirely resolved these difficulties, as there are doubts over the effectiveness and suitability of these measures (K. Kim, 2023). Furthermore, the healthcare system, particularly regarding the management of cardiovascular disease and pulmonary arterial hypertension, is insufficient. There is a lack of necessary medications, diagnostic equipment, and specialist treatment centers (Arsyad et al., 2022; Dinarti et al., 2021).

The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated the challenges faced by public health systems, revealing the absence of comprehensive long-term planning and weakening the financial viability of social health insurance systems (Sparrow et al., 2020). Implementing a self-directed immunization system comes with difficulties, necessitating creative funding options, and improved data collection systems to assure long-term viability. Furthermore, the manual handling of infrastructure data in governmental institutions, such as the West Jakarta Youth and Sports Office, exposes inefficiencies that impede the proper management of infrastructure (Fonjungo et al., 2020). Speculative infrastructure projects in Jakarta, which state-owned firms initiate, demonstrate the interconnection of political and commercial interests, adding complexity to designing and executing essential infrastructure (Anguelov, 2023). To tackle these many difficulties, it is necessary to have a well-coordinated approach, including all sectors and

levels of government, to enhance Indonesia's public infrastructure to withstand and endure difficult situations while ensuring its long-term viability.

2. Literature Review

Collaboration Concepts and Theories

Collaboration is a complex idea that combines resources, expertise, and information to accomplish objectives beyond the scope of any person. Formalized using the belief-desire-competence framework, which predicts how agents allocate effort depending on rewards and competencies, it is based on an intuitive grasp of others' mental states and competencies (Xiang et al., 2023). In addition, ethical discourse and principled negotiation assess collaboration as a moral action emphasizing shared power, legitimacy, and accountability (Heath & Isbell, 2021). Collaboration among musicians makes transferring money between them more accessible, raising their profile and chances of success in the future (Deshmane & Martínez-de-Albéniz, 2023). Through systematic reviews, evidence-based management collaboration (eBMGT) in management seeks to close the knowledge gap between research and practice by producing useful information (HakemZadeh & Baba, 2016). Collaboration in healthcare masks care complexity, but it also necessitates a rigorous examination of the underlying presumptions (Penny & Windsor, 2017).

In collaborative natural resource management, trust—individual and group—plays a crucial role in shaping results predicated on a climate of trust (Rapp, 2020). To differentiate itself from simple collaboration or coordination, collaborative learning entails developing meaning and knowledge in a shared issue space (Baker, 2015). As the Sacramento Domestic Violence Prevention Collaborative demonstrates, cooperative problem-solving is essential in law enforcement when handling emergencies and vulnerable groups (Cropp, 2012). Last, cooperation in cooperative dynamics, like the prisoner's dilemma, demonstrates that even infrequent opportunities can significantly boost cooperation, particularly in less dense interaction networks (Angus & Newton, 2020). As a result, cooperation is a dynamic and context-dependent process that combines strategic contacts across

several domains, ethical standards, psychological reasoning, and trust.

Local Government Concept

Local government is an essential element of public administration, distinguished by its proximity to society and its responsibility for addressing local needs and interests. It functions as a public legal organization comprised of persons focused on the communal interests of inhabitants within designated administrative and territorial divisions and overseen by elected bodies chosen by the residents themselves (Janoczkin, 2021). The notion of local governance has undergone substantial transformation throughout history, tracing its origins back to pre-colonial administrative systems. This is evident in Nigeria, where local government structures predated colonial authority and were subsequently modified to align with the British system of indirect rule (Monday & Wijaya, 2022). The process of decentralizing local government started worldwide in the 1970s, but there has been a recent tendency towards decentralization in certain nations, especially those in Latin America (Dickovick, 2011). Local government plays a crucial role in the efficient provision of public goods and services in contemporary democratic countries, supported by ideologies like fiscal federalism, public choice, and localism (Wyszkowska, 2018).

They possess the financial resources and obligation to oversee regional matters independently. However, this independence can be contested, as exemplified by Indonesia's centralized government structure and departures from the founding fathers' original intentions as outlined in the 1945 Constitution (Nurcholis & Kridasakti, 2018). Local governments have a crucial role in promoting civil society by engaging residents in public matters and ensuring their needs and desires are fulfilled. The efficacy of local governance can substantially influence economic progress, as exemplified in Ukraine, where local self-governance plays a vital role in the revitalization of the economy and the reconstruction efforts following conflicts (Krasnozhan, 2010). Furthermore, local governments must adjust to emerging economic sectors, such as creative industries, to facilitate local economic expansion

effectively. Although faced with difficulties, establishing robust local self-government frameworks, such as those suggested in Russia, can enhance municipal services and general quality of life (Fazlagić & Szczepankiewicz, 2020; Lukyanova et al., 2017).

3. Methods

This research methodology is known as library research, a group of studies focusing on data-gathering methods within libraries. It involves investigating research subjects using various library resources such as books, encyclopedias, scientific journals, newspapers, periodicals, and documents (Williamson & Johanson, 2017). Library research, a literature review, involves examining and critically analyzing knowledge, ideas, and findings in academic literature (Snyder, 2019). It also involves the development of theoretical and methodological contributions to a specific topic. The primary objective of library research is to locate diverse theories, laws, postulates, principles, or concepts that can be employed to examine and resolve specified research inquiries. This research is characterized by descriptive analysis, which involves systematically analyzing the collected data and providing a comprehensive understanding and explanation for the reader's comprehension.

4. Result

Collaboration Models

According to the literature, there are numerous models of cooperation between local governments and the private sector, each with unique traits and results. Public-private partnerships (PPPs) are a popular model that strongly emphasizes collaboration, early engagement, and trust. However, they run the risk of privatization of the planning process, particularly in situations where there are significant power imbalances (Nelischer, 2024). Intercity cooperation models, in which multiple cities collaborate, are also prevalent and can be fruitful when the parties have strong bonds and a high degree of confidence (Previtali & Salvati, 2021). The significance of institutional entrepreneurs in creating new arrangements and promoting diffusion is highlighted by entrepreneurial public-private partnerships,

wherein local governments work with entrepreneurs to foster regional entrepreneurship (Xing et al., 2018). Collaboration efforts are also shaped by the interconnections between local government policies and higher-level government limits, fueled by variables including fiscal competitiveness and spending spillovers (Agrawal et al., 2022).

Public administration and the business sector can work together to mutually increase competitive advantage, as demonstrated by the collaborative innovation framework in tourism services (Pons-Morera et al., 2018). Local collaborations that are place-based and cross-sectoral, like those in Denver, Colorado, exhibit a variety of approaches that involve several sectors and governments to tackle intricate urban problems (Clarke, 2017). Discursive localism further enhances our understanding of collaborative urban governance, which emphasizes the importance of ideas in influencing interactions between governing entities and inspiring collective action (Bradford, 2016). The significance of examining several theories for success is noted by empirical research on local government collaboration (Hoornbeek et al., 2016). Local cultural policy partnerships exemplify the cross-sectoral character of public-private-community sector engagement (Abramson, 2013; Gugu & Dal Molin, 2016). In conclusion, collaborative governance requires enlisting several organizations from diverse sectors to tackle intricate public issues, showcasing differing degrees of autonomy across partners. When combined, these models highlight the complexity of local government-private partnerships and how they may be used to solve various public issues.

Collaboration Effectiveness

Various circumstances influence the effectiveness of collaboration in public infrastructure projects, but it has been proven to impact project performance substantially. Coordination and communication are crucial for enhancing collaboration and project performance, as exemplified in the Dutch national public infrastructure project (Satheesh et al., 2023). These activities, known as boundary-

spanning, are vital in improving the quality of collaboration and project outcomes. Relational contracts and internal organizational constraints can either facilitate or impede collaboration, affecting project trajectories and integration (Bresnen & Lennie, 2023). Efficient data sharing across infrastructure owners is a crucial yet sometimes disregarded factor that affects the execution of interconnected projects (Nezami et al., 2022). Curiously, whereas Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) demonstrate superior cost-effectiveness, these advantages are not necessarily a result of heightened collaboration (Verweij & Satheesh, 2023). Public participation is a significant factor, although it frequently suffers from ineffectiveness (Wu et al., 2019).

Varied viewpoints regarding inter-organizational collaboration (IOC) among professionals can impact the results of a project, emphasizing the importance of effectively handling diverse views to encourage cooperation (Nezami et al., 2023). The decisions made regarding governance throughout project implementation have a more significant impact on the project's success than those made regarding procurement. In collaborative projects, specific governance activities have resulted in better time and cost outcomes (Chen et al., 2018). Integrating contractual incentives with collaborative interactions can promote creativity, highlighting the importance of adopting a balanced strategy (Callens et al., 2022). The National Blue Ocean Strategy (NBOS) in Malaysia demonstrates how strong leadership, efficient governance, and collaborative efforts across many agencies can enhance service provision and reduce expenses (Siddiquee & Xavier, 2020). However, despite these valuable observations, the governance problem remains a significant obstacle, and the public sector frequently neglects to utilize existing evidence to enhance collaboration (Wilkins et al., 2017). In summary, the potential of collaboration to improve public infrastructure projects is contingent upon resolving issues related to governance, data sharing and incorporating various perspectives from the stakeholders involved.

Challenges in Collaboration

Local governments and the private sector encounter various shared challenges while working together, primarily related to disparities in power, governance frameworks, and opposing interests. Power imbalances frequently result in a situation where one party, typically a private organization, has disproportionate influence, which can eclipse public concerns and diminish government supervision, as exemplified by the Quayside Intelligent City initiative in Toronto (Nelischer, 2024). Moreover, the hierarchical authority of the central government and inflexible systems for funding and managing performance might impede authentic public participation and horizontal cooperation, restricting local efforts' success (S. Kim, 2016; Rigg & O'Mahony, 2013). The effectiveness of collaborative activities is hindered by the democratic deficit inherent in partnership arrangements. This deficit arises from a lack of alignment between flexible management tools and public governance laws and processes, resulting in a lack of accountability and openness (Smith et al., 2006). In addition, collaborations frequently encounter difficulties in aligning the interests and intentions of many participants, resulting in symbolic outcomes rather than tangible resolutions to shared issues (Bodin, 2017).

The complex interconnection between public and private interests can create challenges in defining unambiguous obligations and result in conflicts of interest. Therefore, it is imperative to have well-defined contracts in place to prevent any ambiguity (Nelischer, 2024; Xing et al., 2018). Local governments encounter difficulties dealing with multi-jurisdictional matters due to state preemption rules, which restrict their regulatory power and lead to inefficiencies (Rosenbloom, 2012). Moreover, the previous emphasis on privatization has resulted in a decline in public values and has required a transition towards approaches prioritizing accountability, fairness, and effectiveness (Zinda, 2012). Ultimately, influential alliances can control collaborative projects, excluding local communities and sabotaging the original conservation or development objectives (Zinda, 2012). These problems emphasize the necessity of a sophisticated comprehension of cooperative

governance and the significance of tackling power dynamics, governance frameworks, and interest alignment to attain favorable results.

The primary elements contributing to obstacles in collaboration between local government and business entities are multifaceted and interconnected. Power imbalances and the intricacies of control frequently impede efficient governance, as evidenced in public sector collaborations where dominant owners encounter substantial control obstacles (Holum, 2016). In addition, the absence of formal contracts or explicit definitions of obligations can result in ambiguity and conflicts of interest, particularly in projects with substantial power disparities among participants, such as intelligent city programs (Nelischer, 2024). The complex nature of these collaborations is further complicated by the dual governance logic of monitoring and evaluation, which requires a delicate balance between accountability and collaborative features such as trust and power sharing (Pfisterer & Van Tulder, 2020). Local governments face collaboration challenges due to the risks associated with consolidated services, such as providing drinking water, which are determined by their physical and financial aspects (Hansen et al., 2020).

In addition, the political circumstances and conflicts between adaptability and synchronization and between utilizing and allocating resources present more difficulties in local cooperation (Everingham et al., 2012). Central government departments' finance and performance management mechanisms substantially impact local actions and can sometimes result in problems when it comes to partnerships (Rigg & O'Mahony, 2013). Nonprofit CEOs frequently exhibit a more pronounced aversion towards intersectoral collaborations because they regard them as having drawbacks, such as reliance on external resources and transaction expenses (Gazley & Brudney, 2007). Furthermore, the involvement of local governments as institutional entrepreneurs in promoting regional entrepreneurship through public-private partnerships is crucial, although intricate. This entails the creation of new institutional frameworks and encouraging adoption by other stakeholders (Xing et al., 2018).

Managerial views towards collaboration are diverse and can be hindered by political and social variables, which may impede productive partnerships (Gazley, 2010b). These complex problems emphasize the necessity of well-defined governance systems, equitable distribution of power, and flexible solutions to mitigate cooperation risks and enhance the efficacy of partnerships.

Collaboration Supporting Factors

Several elements, such as institutional frameworks, leadership, shared objectives, and trust, determine the effectiveness of cooperation between local governments and commercial entities. Local governments frequently serve as institutional entrepreneurs, constructing novel institutional structures and promoting their spread to foster regional entrepreneurship via public-private partnerships (Xing et al., 2018). Efficient collaboration also depends on synchronizing governance frameworks with ecosystem processes, resolving problems like opportunistic behavior and information deficiencies (Bodin, 2017). For cultural policy to be effective, it is necessary to collaborate across the public, private, and social sectors, particularly at the local level (Gugu & Dal Molin, 2016). Collaboration between local governments and commercial developers is encouraged by the use of incentives, such as tax cuts, provided by the former (Kang, 2022). Key staff's experience and genuine compassion have a more critical role than economic rewards in sustaining collaborations between charitable organizations and municipal governments (Shaw, 2003).

Establishing cooperative networks between local governments and enterprises is greatly influenced by local leadership, the political arena, and intergovernmental connections (Yanez et al., 2008). Nevertheless, the success of these partnerships might be impeded by systemic and administrative hurdles (Raišienė, 2011). Formal agreements and past collaboration can enhance the perception of success, but the level of commitment to shared objectives and investment in the partnership is crucial for actual performance enhancements (Gazley, 2010a). Establishing trust, engaging in direct communication, and fostering mutual understanding are essential elements in the

cooperation process, resulting in a positive cycle of collaborative efforts (Ansell & Gash, 2008). Despite competitive dynamics, municipalities frequently collaborate with their primary rivals. However, this is less probable in city regions that are divided or have a high level of budgetary power (Rubado, 2023). Combining these criteria highlights the intricate and diverse characteristics of effective public-private collaboration.

5. Discussion

The partnership between local governments and the private sector in enhancing public infrastructure is a growingly favored strategy to address financial and resource limitations. An often-employed collaboration approach is public-private partnerships (PPP), in which the private sector participates in multiple project phases, including design, financing, and maintenance. This collaboration alleviates the fiscal strain on the government and brings about enhanced effectiveness and ingenuity from the business sector. Studies in the Netherlands have demonstrated that DBFM (Design, Build, Finance, and Maintain) projects can improve project efficiency and quality by fostering a strong partnership between the public and private sectors. This occurs because of the early engagement of the private sector, which enables more comprehensive planning and more effective execution.

Various pivotal elements significantly impact the efficacy of this collaboration. Efficient collaboration and correspondence between the government and the private sector are crucial for resolving potential problems and ensuring a shared comprehension of project objectives and duties among all parties involved. For instance, in a large-scale infrastructure project in the Netherlands, public managers in a boundary-spanning capacity played a crucial role in enhancing collaboration and project performance by facilitating communication among the parties involved. In addition, public participation is an essential determinant of the success of this relationship. Efficient public engagement guarantees that infrastructure projects align with community requirements and anticipations, thus enhancing project backing and durability.

Transparent agreements and suitable motivators also have a crucial impact on enhancing the efficiency of cooperation. Comprehensive contracts that specify each party's specific duties and obligations, along with methods for resolving disputes, can minimize the likelihood of conflicts and enhance the level of legal assurance. Appropriate incentives, such as performance-based bonuses for timely and cost-effective project completion, can motivate the private sector to strengthen their contributions. Research indicates that combining monetary rewards and cooperative exchanges can stimulate creativity and enhance the results of projects.

Nevertheless, the cooperation between municipal government and the corporate sector is not without obstacles. An asymmetry of power between the government and the private sector can result in the hegemony of the private sector, which may disregard the public interest. For instance, the innovative city initiative in Toronto demonstrates how this unequal distribution of power can lead to the private sector exerting control over the development and execution of the project, thereby disregarding the interests and involvement of the public. Furthermore, the disparity in interests between the government, which prioritizes the general public's welfare and the system's long-term viability, and the private sector, which typically prioritizes immediate financial gains, also poses a hindrance. To surmount these disparities, engaging in extensive discourse and negotiation is imperative to identify shared interests that are advantageous to both sides.

Inflexible regulations and intricate bureaucracy frequently impede the collaborative process. Governments must establish adaptable regulatory frameworks and promote innovation to encourage efficient collaboration. Instances from several nations demonstrate that proactive and supportive policies can stimulate private sector involvement in public infrastructure projects. For example, in numerous developing countries, governmental policies that promote cooperation and offer financial incentives have effectively enticed private participation in infrastructure projects. Ultimately, the partnership between local government and the private sector can be a viable means to enhance

the quality of sustainable and eco-friendly public infrastructure, provided it is executed with meticulous planning, well-defined contractual agreements, and efficient governance. This collaboration can yield substantial societal advantages and foster enhanced infrastructure development by resolving power disparities, divergent interests, and regulatory constraints and leveraging facilitating factors such as solid coordination, efficient communication, and public engagement.

6. Conclusion

The findings of this study suggest that the cooperation between local government and the private sector can significantly enhance public infrastructure when executed with meticulous planning, explicit contractual agreements, and efficient governance. Effective cooperation models highlight the significance of communication, coordination, and trust in attaining optimal outcomes. Nevertheless, it is crucial to confront obstacles such as unequal distribution of power and divergent interests to guarantee this partnership's achievement.

7. Limitation

This study has many limitations, such as the extent of the literature examined and methodological constraints in data gathering. Furthermore, this research exclusively concentrates on literary studies, excluding actual data or field studies that could offer a more comprehensive understanding of the practical application of collaboration in the field.

8. Implications

The results of this study have practical consequences for local governments and the private sector when it comes to planning and executing public infrastructure projects. Practical suggestions involve the significance of thorough preparation, explicit contractual agreements, and vigilant supervision to address collaboration difficulties. Furthermore, this research offers a theoretical foundation for future studies on the partnership between public and private sectors in infrastructure development.

References

- Abramson, A. J. (2013). *Book Review: Collaborative Governance: Private Roles for Public Goals in*

- Turbulent Times*. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA.
- Acharya, B., Lee, J., & Moon, H. (2022). Preference heterogeneity of local government for implementing ICT infrastructure and services through public-private partnership mechanism. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 79, 101103.
- Acosta-Ormaechea, S., & Morozumi, A. (2017). Public spending reallocations and economic growth across different income levels. *Economic Inquiry*, 55(1), 98–114.
- Agrawal, D. R., Hoyt, W. H., & Wilson, J. D. (2022). Local policy choice: theory and empirics. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 60(4), 1378–1455.
- Allam, Z., & Jones, D. S. (2019). Attracting investment by introducing the city as a special economic zone: A perspective from Mauritius. *Urban Research & Practice*, 12(2), 201–207.
- Anguelov, D. (2023). Financializing urban infrastructure? The speculative state-spaces of 'public-public partnerships' in Jakarta. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, 55(2), 445–470.
- Angus, S. D., & Newton, J. (2020). Collaboration leads to cooperation on sparse networks. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 16(1), e1007557.
- Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). Collaborative governance in theory and practice. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), 543–571.
- Arsyad, D. S., Hamsyah, E. F., Qalby, N., Qanitha, A., Westerink, J., Cramer, M. J., Visseren, F. L. J., Doevendans, P. A., & Ansariadi, A. (2022). The readiness of public primary health care (PUSKESMAS) for cardiovascular services in Makasar city, Indonesia. *BMC Health Services Research*, 22(1), 1112.
- Baker, M. J. (2015). Collaboration in collaborative learning. *Interaction Studies*, 16(3), 451–473.
- Bodin, Ö. (2017). Collaborative environmental governance: achieving collective action in social-ecological systems. *Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan1114>
- Bradford, N. (2016). Ideas and collaborative governance: A discursive localism approach. *Urban Affairs Review*, 52(5), 659–684.
- Bresnen, M., & Lennie, S.-J. (2023). Driving Program Delivery Forward? A Case Study of Owner–Supplier Collaboration in Infrastructure Development. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*.
- Callens, C., Verhoest, K., & Boon, J. (2022). Combined effects of procurement and collaboration on innovation in public-private-partnerships: a qualitative comparative analysis of 24 infrastructure projects. *Public Management Review*, 24(6), 860–881.
- Cervero, R. (2009). Transport infrastructure and global competitiveness: Balancing mobility and livability. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 626(1), 210–225.
- Chen, L., Manley, K., Lewis, J., Helfer, F., & Widen, K. (2018). Procurement and governance choices for collaborative infrastructure projects. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 144(8), 4018071.
- Cigu, E., Agheorghiesei, D. T., Gavriluță, A. F., & Toader, E. (2018). Transport infrastructure development, public performance and long-run economic growth: a case study for the Eu-28 countries. *Sustainability*, 11(1), 67.
- Clarke, S. E. (2017). Local place-based collaborative governance: Comparing state-centric and society-centered models. *Urban Affairs Review*, 53(3), 578–602.
- Colven, E. (2020). Subterranean infrastructures in a sinking city: the politics of visibility in Jakarta. *Critical Asian Studies*, 52(3), 311–331.
- Cropp, D. (2012). The theory and practice of collaborations in law enforcement. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, 14(3), 213–218.
- Denham, T., Hamilton, C., Kellett, J., Maginn, P. J., Martinus, K., & Dodson, J. (2023). Project Assessment for Local Government Advocacy. *Urban Policy and Research*, 41(2),

- 224–237.
- Deshmane, A., & Martínez-de-Albéniz, V. (2023). Come Together, Right Now? An Empirical Study of Collaborations in the Music Industry. *Management Science*, 69(12), 7217–7235.
- Dickovick, J. T. (2011). *Decentralization and recentralization in the developing world: Comparative studies from Africa and Latin America*. Penn State Press.
- Dinarti, L. K., Anggrahini, D. W., Lilyasari, O., Siswanto, B. B., & Hartopo, A. B. (2021). Pulmonary arterial hypertension in Indonesia: current status and local application of international guidelines. *Global Heart*, 16(1).
- Engel, E., Fischer, R., & Galetovic, A. (2022). Private Finance of Public Infrastructure. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 14, 319–335.
- Errington, J. (2014). State speech for peripheral publics in Java. In *Languages and Publics* (pp. 103–118). Routledge.
- Everingham, J.-A., Warburton, J., Cuthill, M., & Bartlett, H. (2012). Collaborative governance of ageing: Challenges for local government in partnering with the seniors' sector. *Local Government Studies*, 38(2), 161–181.
- Fazlagić, J., & Szczepankiewicz, E. I. (2020). The role of local governments in supporting creative industries—a conceptual model. *Sustainability*, 12(1), 438.
- Fonjungo, F., Banerjee, D., Abdulah, R., Diantini, A., Kusuma, A. S. W., Permana, M. Y., & Suwantika, A. A. (2020). Sustainable financing for new vaccines in Indonesia: challenges and strategies. *Sustainability*, 12(21), 9265.
- Fu, L., Sun, H., Meng, Y., & Li, J. (2022). The Role of Public–Private Partnerships in Local Government Debt Is a Potential Threat to Sustainable Cities: A Case from China. *Sustainability*, 14(21), 13972.
- Gazley, B. (2010a). Linking collaborative capacity to performance measurement in government–nonprofit partnerships. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 39(4), 653–673.
- Gazley, B. (2010b). Why not partner with local government? Nonprofit managerial perceptions of collaborative disadvantage. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 39(1), 51–76.
- Gazley, B., & Brudney, J. L. (2007). The purpose (and perils) of government–nonprofit partnership. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 36(3), 389–415.
- Gibson, J., & Rioja, F. (2017). Public infrastructure maintenance and the distribution of wealth. *Economic Inquiry*, 55(1), 175–186.
- Gugu, S., & Dal Molin, M. (2016). Collaborative local cultural governance: What works? The case of cultural districts in Italy. *Administration & Society*, 48(2), 237–262.
- HakemZadeh, F., & Baba, V. V. (2016). Toward a theory of collaboration for evidence-based management. *Management Decision*, 54(10), 2587–2616.
- Hansen, K., Mullin, M., & Riggs, E. K. (2020). Collaboration risk and the choice to consolidate local government services. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance*, 3(3), 223–238.
- Heath, R. G., & Isbell, M. G. (2021). Theorizing principled collaboration. *Communication Theory*, 31(4), 654–674.
- Holum, M. L. (2016). Governance of a public sector joint venture: The control challenges of dominated owners. *Local Government Studies*, 42(6), 1004–1023.
- Hoornbeek, J., Beechey, T., & Pascarella, T. (2016). Fostering local government collaboration: An empirical analysis of case studies in Ohio. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 38(2), 252–279.
- Hutagalung, A. M., Hartono, D., Arentsen, M., & Lovett, J. (2017). The economic implications of natural gas infrastructure investment. *Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy*, 12(12), 1080–1087.
- Ishizaki, H., & Huang, V. (2011). Continued Expansion of ICT Infrastructure in Rural Indonesia [Industry Forum]. *IEEE Industrial Electronics Magazine*, 5(4), 6–11.

- Janoczkin, S. (2021). История Лунинецкого местного самоуправления. 1842–1939 гг. *Textus et Studia*, 1 (25), 139–203.
- Jayasena, N. S., Chan, D. W. M., Kumaraswamy, M. M., & Saka, A. B. (2023). Applicability of public-private partnerships in smart infrastructure development: The case of Hong Kong. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 23(11), 1932–1944.
- Kaming, P. F., Olomolaiye, P. O., Holt, G. D., & Harris, F. C. (1997). Regional comparison of Indonesian construction productivity. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 13(2), 33–39.
- Kang, K. E. (2022). Local-level economic development conflicts: Factors that influence interactions with private land developers. *Urban Affairs Review*, 58(3), 706–731.
- Kim, K. (2023). Analysing Indonesia's infrastructure deficits from a developmentalist perspective. *Competition & Change*, 27(1), 115–142.
- Kim, S. (2016). The workings of collaborative governance: Evaluating collaborative community-building initiatives in Korea. *Urban Studies*, 53(16), 3547–3565.
- Koppenjan, J., Klijn, E.-H., Verweij, S., Duijn, M., van Meerkerk, I., Metselaar, S., & Warsen, R. (2022). The performance of public-private partnerships: An evaluation of 15 years DBFM in Dutch infrastructure governance. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 45(5), 998–1028.
- Krasnozhon, L. O. (2010). *Institutional analysis of Ukraine's post-socialist economic development*. George Mason University.
- Liu, C. (2021). Infrastructure public-private partnership (PPP) investment and government fiscal expenditure on science and technology from the perspective of sustainability. *Sustainability*, 13(11), 6193.
- Lukiyanova, M. N., Nikitskaya, E. F., & Sedova, N. V. (2017). Concept and model of local self-government using organizational design and public communications. *Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics*, 8(3 (25)), 887–898.
- Marshall, J. (2005). Environmental health: Megacity, mega mess... *Nature*, 437(7057), 312–315.
- Meersman, H., & Nazemzadeh, M. (2017). The contribution of transport infrastructure to economic activity: The case of Belgium. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 5(2), 316–324.
- Monday, E. J., & Wijaya, J. H. (2022). The Historical Development of Local Government Administration and Its Contemporary Realities in Nigeria. *TheJournalish: Social and Government*, 3(1), 43–54.
- Mullin, M., & Hansen, K. (2023). Local news and the electoral incentive to invest in infrastructure. *American Political Science Review*, 117(3), 1145–1150.
- Ndulu, B. J. (2006). Infrastructure, regional integration and growth in Sub-Saharan Africa: Dealing with the disadvantages of geography and sovereign fragmentation. *Journal of African Economies*, 15(suppl_2), 212–244.
- Nelischer, K. (2024). Evaluating Collaborative Public-Private Partnerships: The Case of Toronto's Smart City. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 90(2), 261–273.
- Nezami, M. R., de Bruijne, M. L. C., Hertogh, M. J. C. M., & Bakker, H. L. M. (2022). Collaboration and data sharing in inter-organizational infrastructure construction projects. *Sustainability*, 14(24), 16835.
- Nezami, M. R., de Bruijne, M. L. C., Hertogh, M. J. C. M., & Bakker, H. L. M. (2023). Inter-organizational collaboration in interconnected infrastructure projects. *Sustainability*, 15(8), 6721.
- Nurcholis, C., & Kridasakti, S. W. (2018). Reconstruction of the local government model based on the concept of the founding fathers and the 1945 constitution juncto the 1945 constitution of the Republic of Indonesia towards modern local government. *Yustisia Jurnal Hukum*, 7(3), 534–568.
- Oghenebrume, A. D., & Oluleye, F. A. (2019).

- Industrial Sector Growth And Public Infrastructure Capital In Nigeria. *Journal Homepage: Https://Www. Jomaes. Org*, 1(1), 1–15.
- Panayiotou, A., & Medda, F. (2014). Attracting private sector participation in infrastructure investment: the UK case. *Public Money & Management*, 34(6), 425–431.
- Penny, R. A., & Windsor, C. (2017). Collaboration: a critical exploration of the care continuum. *Nursing Inquiry*, 24(2), e12164.
- Peters, R. (2023). THE LIMITS OF INFRASTRUCTURE: Public Transport in a Post-colonial City. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 47(2), 167–181.
- Pfisterer, S., & Van Tulder, R. (2020). Navigating governance tensions to enhance the impact of partnerships with the private sector for the SDGs. *Sustainability*, 13(1), 111.
- Pons-Morera, C., Canós-Darós, L., & Gil-Pechuan, I. (2018). A model of collaborative innovation between local government and tourism operators. *Service Business*, 12, 143–168.
- Pramono, W., & Mulia, R. A. (2023). Village Government Policy in Reducing Poverty. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ekotrans & Erudisi*, 3(2), 112–121.
- Previtali, P., & Salvati, E. (2021). Area social plans and local governance of interorganizational collaborations. *Sustainability*, 13(2), 545.
- Pribadi, K. S., Abduh, M., Wirahadikusumah, R. D., Hanifa, N. R., Irsyam, M., Kusumaningrum, P., & Puri, E. (2021). Learning from past earthquake disasters: The need for knowledge management system to enhance infrastructure resilience in Indonesia. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 64, 102424.
- Rahmayu, M., Serli, R. K., & Aditya, M. F. (2021). Pengolahan Data Infrastruktur Suku Dinas Pemuda Dan Olahraga Jakarta Barat Berbasis Web. *Journal of Information and Technology*, 1(1), 6–10.
- Raišienė, A. G. (2011). Public servants' approach to the success factors of partnership in local government. *Viešoji Politika Ir Administravimas= Public Policy and Administration/Kauno Technologijos Universitetas, Mykolo Romerio Universitetas. Kaunas: Kauno Technologijos Universitetas*, 2011, t. 10, Nr. 4.
- Rapp, C. (2020). Hypothesis and theory: Collaborative governance, natural resource management, and the trust environment. *Frontiers in Communication*, 5, 28.
- Rigg, C., & O'Mahony, N. (2013). Frustrations in collaborative working: Insights from institutional theory. *Public Management Review*, 15(1), 83–108.
- Rogowski, J. C., Gerring, J., Maguire, M., & Cojocar, L. (2022). Public infrastructure and economic development: Evidence from postal systems. *American Journal of Political Science*, 66(4), 885–901.
- Rosenbloom, J. (2012). New Day at the Pool: State Preemption, Common Pool Resources, and Non-Place Based Municipal Collaborations. *Harv. Envtl. L. Rev.*, 36, 445.
- Rubado, M. E. (2023). Collaborating with the competition? A study of interlocal partnership choices. *Public Administration*, 101(2), 640–654.
- Satheesh, S. A., Verweij, S., van Meerkerk, I., Busscher, T., & Arts, J. (2023). The impact of boundary spanning by public managers on collaboration and infrastructure project performance. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 46(2), 418–444.
- Selian, A. N., & McKnight, L. (2017). The role of technology in the history of well-being: Transformative market phenomena over time. *The Pursuit of Human Well-Being: The Untold Global History*, 639–687.
- Sharif, F., & Tauqir, A. (2021). The effects of infrastructure development and carbon emissions on economic growth. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(27), 36259–36273.
- Shaw, M. M. (2003). Successful collaboration between the nonprofit and public sectors. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 14(1), 107–120.
- Siddiquee, N. A., & Xavier, J. A. (2020). Collaborative approach to public service

- improvement: the Malaysian experience and lessons. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 34(1), 17–32.
- Smith, M., Mathur, N., & Skelcher, C. (2006). Corporate governance in a collaborative environment: what happens when government, business and civil society work together? *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 14(3), 159–171.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Sparrow, R., Dartanto, T., & Hartwig, R. (2020). Indonesia under the new normal: Challenges and the way ahead. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 56(3), 269–299. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2020.1854079>
- Tamošaitienė, J., Sarvari, H., Chan, D. W. M., & Cristofaro, M. (2020). Assessing the barriers and risks to private sector participation in infrastructure construction projects in developing countries of Middle East. *Sustainability*, 13(1), 153.
- Verweij, S., & Satheesh, S. A. (2023). In search of the collaborative advantage of public-private partnerships: A comparative analysis of Dutch transport infrastructure projects. *Public Administration Review*, 83(3), 679–690.
- Wang, H., Sun, X., & Shi, Y. (2024). Commercial investment in public-private partnerships: the impact of government characteristics. *Local Government Studies*, 50(1), 230–260.
- Wegrzyn, J., Gluszek, M., & Telega, A. (2019). Infrastructure endowment, financial constraints and willingness to engage in PPPs: The case of Poland. *Public Money & Management*, 39(2), 132–138.
- Wibowo, A., & Kochendoerfer, B. (2011). Selecting BOT/PPP infrastructure projects for government guarantee portfolio under conditions of budget and risk in the Indonesian context. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 137(7), 512–522.
- Wilkins, P., Phillimore, J., & Gilchrist, D. (2017). Collaboration by the public sector: findings by watchdogs in Australia and New Zealand. *Public Money & Management*, 37(3), 217–224.
- Williamson, K., & Johanson, G. (2017). *Research methods: Information, systems, and contexts*. Chandos Publishing.
- Wu, L., Jia, G., & Mackhaphonh, N. (2019). Case study on improving the effectiveness of public participation in public infrastructure megaprojects. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 145(4), 5019003.
- Wyszowska, D. (2018). Samorząd terytorialny w ujęciu wybranych koncepcji teoretycznych. *Optimum. Economic Studies*, 91(1), 126–145.
- Xiang, Y., Vélez, N., & Gershman, S. J. (2023). Collaborative decision making is grounded in representations of other people's competence and effort. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 152(6), 1565.
- Xing, Y., Liu, Y., & Cooper, S. C. L. (2018). Local government as institutional entrepreneur: Public-private collaborative partnerships in fostering regional entrepreneurship. *British Journal of Management*, 29(4), 670–690.
- Yanez, C. J. N., Magnier, A., & Ramirez, M. A. (2008). Local governance as government-business cooperation in Western democracies: analysing local and intergovernmental effects by multi-level comparison. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 32(3), 531–547.
- Zinda, J. A. (2012). Hazards of collaboration: Local state co-optation of a new protected-area model in southwest China. *Society & Natural Resources*, 25(4), 384–399.