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#### Abstract

The purpose of this study was to analyze the ability of fifthsemester students in the English Language Education Program UNP's use degrees of comparison in adjectives and adverbs. The Participants in this study were 35 fifth-semester students at the English Language Education Program of the UNP. This study was a quantitative study. Tests and interviews were conducted for this study. According to the study's findings, $55.42 \%$ of students were able to master the items of positive degrees in adjectives, followed by the items of comparative degrees in adjectives, with $61.14 \%$ of students being able to master those items. Of the students, $77.14 \%$ demonstrated the ability to master the items of the superlative degree in adjectives. In terms of adverbs, $72.57 \%$ of students were able to master the items of positive degree in adverbs, $66.85 \%$ of students were able to master the items of comparative degree in adverbs, and 38.28\% of students were able to master the items of superlative degree in adverbs. This indicates that more than $50 \%$ of the students had mastered the degree of comparison. The respondents supported this finding, stating that the fifth-semester students in the English language education program have problem comprehending comparisons for the following reasons: They misplaced the article "the" in the superlative form and forgot to add "than" after the adjective in the comparative form. They also did not know how to modify words that ended in $-y$ to -i and add -erl-est. Finally, they did not understand the purpose of using irregular forms.


## INTRODUCTION

Grammar is a skill that studies a group of words and how they work together; an invisible skill that can make us put words together into sentence Debata (2013). Students' understanding of grammar can help them to become aware of mistakes in their sentences. Harmer (2004) states that grammar describes how words may take different forms and can be combined to create sentences. According to experts, there

are some rules that explain how language is constructed and used in communication, and many components of grammar are taught. One is the degree of comparison.

Munoz (1991) says that comparison shows the degree of deference, with the adjective and adverb possibly being equal or unequal. This indicates that different levels of two or more things, persons, or places are indicated using degrees of comparison to compare them. According to Parrott (2004), a comparative is an adjective or adverb that ends in -er, such as bigger, richer, or faster, while a superlative is an adjective or adverb that ends in -est (e.g., largest, richest, fastest). There are three levels of comparison: positive, comparative, and superlative. A positive degree indicates no comparisons. The comparative degree is used to compare two things, one of which is "more" than the other. The superlative degree is used to describe the thing that has the "most" trait, among others.

According to Parrott (2004), Adjectives are frequently referred to as "describing words" since they provide information about the traits of anything being described by a noun, noun phrase, or noun clause. Adjectives can be compared in English. For instance, one can say that one house is big, that it is bigger than another, or that it is the biggest house of all. Not all adjectives lend themselves to comparison, such as perfect, right, natural, and wrong. These words cannot be compared because the positive forms express only the possible degree. An adjective can be used in one of three locations: before a noun, after a noun, or in the predicate. Parrott (2004) says that the word "adverb" refers to a variety of words with quite different functions. It is neither accurate nor very helpful in defining adverbs as words that "modify an adjective, adverb, or another verb." In English, the adverbs were compared. For instance, it is possible to say that she runs fast, faster than the others, or the fastest of all.

From the viewpoint above, the researcher believes that this study is necessary for the following reasons: there are limited studies that discuss degrees of comparison in adverbs, with most previous studies focusing only on adjective comparisons. In this study, the researcher wanted to include the degrees of comparison in adverbs, because according to the researcher, adjectives and adverbs are equally important. In addition, based on students' abilities, the researcher wanted to know what made their scores high or low.

## RESEARCH METHOD

Quantitative methods were used in this study. The participants in this research were students of the English Language Education Program at the University of Padang. According to Watson (2015), in order to systematically examine social phenomena using statistical or numerical data, a variety of techniques are referred to as "quantitative research." This study aimed to validate the measurements as well as pattern and connection findings through data analysis.

The reason for choosing the class of 2020 as the population was because the researcher had searched for this topic already learned by the students in the fifth semester, so the researcher chose them to be the population.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

## Research Finding

A. Students' Ability in Degrees of Comparison of Adjective
a. The Form of Positive Degree in Adjective

The following table shows the general frequency of students' ability to use adjectives in positive form:

Table 4. 4
General Frequency of Students' Ability in Positive Form

| No. | Student | Score | Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | AD | 60 | Fair |
| 2. | DPN | 100 | Excellent |
| 3. | FK | 60 | Fair |
| 4. | GZS | 40 | Very poor |
| 5. | MY | 100 | Excellent |
| 6. | NR | 100 | Excellent |
| 7. | SL | 100 | Excellent |
| 8. | AE | 40 | Very poor |
| 9. | AM | 80 | Good |
| 10. | AGF | 100 | Excellent |
| 11. | A | 60 | Fair |
| 12. | CA | 40 | Very poor |
| 13. | EA | 40 | Very poor |
| 14. | FR | 40 | Very poor |
| 15. | HR | 20 | Very poor |
| 16. | IAM | 100 | Excellent |
| 17. | MDS | 20 | Very poor |
| 18. | MMP | 20 | Very poor |
| 19. | MIJ | 40 | Very poor |
| 20. | NC | 60 | Fair |
| 21. | RPF | 40 | Very poor |
| 22. | NF | 40 | Very poor |
| 23. | OG | 20 | Very poor |
| 24. | QHG | 40 | Very poor |
| 25. | R | 80 | Good |
| 26. | RW | 20 | Very poor |
| 27. | S | 20 | Very poor |
| 28. | SMP | 0 | Very poor |
| 29. | RCZ | 80 | Good |
| 30. | RAA | 80 | Good |
| 31. | SFZS | 0 | Very poor |
| 32. | TAP | 40 | Very poor |
| 33. | TMS | 80 | Good |
| 34. | TFM | 100 | Excellent |
| 35. | YW | 80 | Good |
| Total | 35 | 55.43 |  |

The table shows that seven students scored 100 , six scored 80 , four scored 60 , ten got scores 40 , six scored 20 , and two scored 0 . Overall, the average student scores for adjectives with a positive degree were 55.43.
The following table shows the percentage of students with positive degree of adjective ability based on the results above:

Table 4.5
The Percentage Result of Students' Score Classification

| Criterion | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 7 | $20 \%$ |
| Good | 6 | $17.14 \%$ |
| Fair | 4 | $11.43 \%$ |
| Poor | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Very poor | 18 | $51.43 \%$ |
| Total | 35 | $100 \%$ |

The table indicates that 7 students, or $20 \%$ of the students, are at excellent criteria, $17.14 \%$ or 6 students are at good criteria, $11.43 \%$ or 4 students are at fair criteria, $0 \%$ or 0 students are at poor criteria, and $51.43 \%$ or 18 students are at very poor criteria.

## b. The form of comparative degree in adjective

The general frequency of students' abilities in comparative form in adjectives can be seen in the following table:

Table 4.6
General Frequency of Students' Ability in Comparative Form

| No. | Student | Score | Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | AD | 100 | Excellent |
| 2. | DPN | 100 | Excellent |
| 3. | FK | 80 | Good |
| 4. | GZS | 80 | Good |
| 5. | MY | 40 | Very poor |
| 6. | NR | 80 | Good |
| 7. | SL | 80 | Good |
| 8. | AE | 60 | Fair |
| 9. | AM | 60 | Fair |
| 10. | AGF | 60 | Fair |
| 11. | A | 60 | Fair |
| 12. | CA | 80 | Good |
| 13. | EA | 60 | Fair |
| 14. | FR | 40 | Very poor |
| 15. | HR | 80 | Good |
| 16. | IAM | 80 | Good |
| 17. | MDS | 20 | Very poor |


| 18. | MMP | 20 | Very poor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19. | MIJ | 80 | Good |
| 20. | NC | 20 | Very poor |
| 21. | RPF | 20 | Very poor |
| 22. | NF | 40 | Very poor |
| 23. | OG | 40 | Very poor |
| 24. | QHG | 80 | Good |
| 25. | R | 20 | Very poor |
| 26. | RW | 100 | Excellent |
| 27. | S | 20 | Very poor |
| 28. | SMP | 60 | Fair |
| 29. | RCZ | 80 | Good |
| 30. | RAA | 100 | Excellent |
| 31. | SFZS | 0 | Very poor |
| 32. | TAP | 40 | Very poor |
| 33. | TMS | 80 | Good |
| 34. | TFM | 100 | Excellent |
| 35. | YW | 80 | Good |
| Total | 35 | 62.86 | Fair |

According to the table, five students scored 100 , twelve got scores 80 , six scored 60 , five scored 40 , six scored 20 , and one received 0 . Overall, the average students' positive degree score in adjective was 62.86 .

The following table shows the percentage of students' ability to use comparative degrees as adjectives:

Table 4. 7
The Percentage Result of Students' Score Classification

| Criterion | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 5 | $14.29 \%$ |
| Good | 12 | $34.29 \%$ |
| Fair | 6 | $17.14 \%$ |
| Poor | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Very poor | 12 | $34.28 \%$ |
| Total | 35 | $100 \%$ |

The table above shows that $14.29 \%$ or 5 students are at the excellent criteria, $34.29 \%$ or 12 students are at the good criteria, $17.14 \%$ or 6 students are at the fair criteria, $0 \%$ or 0 students are at poor criteria, and $34.28 \%$ or 12 students are at the very poor criteria.

## c. The form of superlative degree in adjective

The general frequency of students' ability in superlative form in adjectives can be seen in the following table:

Table 4.8
General Frequency of Students' Ability in Superlative Form

| No. | Student | Score | Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | AD | 80 | Good |
| 2. | DPN | 100 | Excellent |
| 3. | FK | 60 | Fair |
| 4. | GZS | 100 | Excellent |
| 5. | MY | 100 | Excellent |
| 6. | NR | 100 | Excellent |
| 7. | SL | 80 | Good |
| 8. | AE | 80 | Good |
| 9. | AM | 100 | Excellent |
| 10. | AGF | 100 | Excellent |
| 11. | A | 100 | Excellent |
| 12. | CA | 100 | Excellent |
| 13. | EA | 80 | Good |
| 14. | FR | 40 | Very poor |
| 15. | HR | 80 | Good |
| 16. | IAM | 100 | Excellent |
| 17. | MDS | 80 | Good |
| 18. | MMP | 40 | Very poor |
| 19. | MIJ | 60 | Fair |
| 20. | NC | 20 | Very poor |
| 21. | RPF | 80 | Good |
| 22. | NF | 0 | Very poor |
| 23. | OG | 80 | Good |
| 24. | QHG | 60 | Fair |
| 25. | R | 80 | Good |
| 26. | RW | 100 | Excellent |
| 27. | S | 60 | Fair |
| 28. | SMP | 80 | Good |
| 29. | RCZ | 100 | Excellent |
| 30. | RAA | 80 | Good |
| 31. | SFZS | 60 | Fair |
| 32. | TAP | 60 | Fair |
| 33. | TMS | 100 | Excellent |
| 34. | TFM | 60 | Fair |
| 35. | YW | 100 | Excellent |
| Total | 35 | 77.14 | Good |

The table shows that thirteen students got 100 , eleven got 80 , seven got 60 , two got 40 , and one got 20 , and one student got 0 . Overall, the average students' positive degree score in adjective was 77.14.

The following table shows the percentage of students' ability to use superlative degree adjectives:

Table 4.9
The Percentage Result of Students' Score Classification

| Criterion | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 13 | $37.14 \%$ |
| Good | 11 | $31.43 \%$ |
| Fair | 7 | $20 \%$ |
| Poor | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Very poor | 4 | $11.43 \%$ |
| Total | 35 | $100 \%$ |

According to the table, $37.14 \%$ or 13 students are at the excellent criteria, $31.43 \%$ or 11 students are at the good criteria, $20 \%$ or 7 students are at the fair criteria, $0 \%$ or 0 students are at the poor criteria, and $11.43 \%$ or 4 students are at the very poor criteria.

## B. Students' ability in degrees of comparison of adverb

## a. The form of positive degree in adverb

The general frequency of students' ability in positive forms of adverbs can be seen in the following table:

Table 4. 10
General Frequency of Students' Ability in Positive Form

| No. | Student | Score | Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | AD | 100 | Excellent |
| 2. | DPN | 100 | Excellent |
| 3. | FK | 80 | Good |
| 4. | GZS | 100 | Excellent |
| 5. | MY | 80 | Good |
| 6. | NR | 100 | Excellent |
| 7. | SL | 60 | Fair |
| 8. | AE | 80 | Good |
| 9. | AM | 100 | Excellent |
| 10. | AGF | 80 | Good |
| 11. | A | 80 | Good |
| 12. | CA | 100 | Excellent |
| 13. | EA | 60 | Fair |
| 14. | FR | 80 | Good |
| 15. | HR | 20 | Very poor |
| 16. | IAM | 100 | Excellent |
| 17. | MDS | 40 | Very poor |
| 18. | MMP | 60 | Fair |
| 19. | MIJ | 80 | Good |
| 20. | NC | 20 | Very poor |
| 21. | RPF | 60 | Fair |
| 22. | NF | 60 | Fair |


| 23. | OG | 100 | Excellent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24. | QHG | 60 | Fair |
| 25. | R | 40 | Very poor |
| 26. | RW | 100 | Excellent |
| 27. | S | 80 | Good |
| 28. | SMP | 80 | Good |
| 29. | RCZ | 80 | Good |
| 30. | RAA | 100 | Excellent |
| 31. | SFZS | 0 | Very poor |
| 32. | TAP | 40 | Very poor |
| 33. | TMS | 80 | Good |
| 34. | TFM | 80 | Good |
| 35. | YW | 60 | Fair |
| Total | 35 | 72.57 | Good |

According to the table, there were ten students got 100,12 students got 80 , seven students got 60 , three students got 40 , two students got 20 , and one student got 0 . Overall, the average score of positive degrees in adverbs was 72.57.

The percentage of students' ability to use positive degree adverbs is shown in the table below:

Table 4.11
The Percentage Result of Students' Score Classification

| Criterion | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 10 | $28.57 \%$ |
| Good | 12 | $34.29 \%$ |
| Fair | 7 | $20 \%$ |
| Poor | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Very poor | 6 | $17.14 \%$ |
| Total | 35 | $100 \%$ |

From the table, we know that $28.57 \%$ or 10 students meet the excellent criteria, $34.29 \%$ or 12 students meet the good criteria, $20 \%$ or 7 students meet the fair criteria, $0 \%$ or 0 students meet the poor criteria, and $17.14 \%$ or 6 students meet the very poor criteria.

## b. The form of comparative degree in adverb

The general frequency of students' ability in comparative forms of adverbs can be seen in the following table:

Table 4. 12
General Frequency of Students' Ability in Comparative Form

| No. | Student | Score | Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | AD | 60 | Fair |
| 2. | DPN | 80 | Good |


| 3. | FK | 60 | Fair |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. | GZS | 80 | Good |
| 5. | MY | 80 | Good |
| 6. | NR | 100 | Excellent |
| 7. | SL | 80 | Good |
| 8. | AE | 80 | Good |
| 9. | AM | 60 | Fair |
| 10. | AGF | 100 | Excellent |
| 11. | A | 80 | Good |
| 12. | CA | 80 | Good |
| 13. | EA | 60 | Fair |
| 14. | FR | 60 | Fair |
| 15. | HR | 80 | Good |
| 16. | IAM | 80 | Good |
| 17. | MDS | 40 | Very poor |
| 18. | MMP | 60 | Fair |
| 19. | MIJ | 40 | Very poor |
| 20. | NC | 20 | Very poor |
| 21. | RPF | 40 | Very poor |
| 22. | NF | 80 | Good |
| 23. | OG | 60 | Fair |
| 24. | QHG | 60 | Fair |
| 25. | R | 60 | Fair |
| 26. | RW | 80 | Good |
| 27. | S | 0 | Very poor |
| 28. | SMP | 80 | Good |
| 29. | RCZ | 80 | Good |
| 30. | RAA | 100 | Excellent |
| 31. | SFZS | 0 | Very poor |
| 32. | TAP | 80 | Good |
| 33. | TMS | 80 | Good |
| 34. | TFM | 80 | Good |
| 35. | YW | 80 | Good |
| Total | 35 | 66.86 | Fair |

The table shows that three students got 100,17 got 80 , nine got 60 , three got 40 , one got 20, and two got 0 . Overall, the average students' positive degree score in adverb was 66.86.
According to the above data, the following table shows the percentage of students who are proficient in comparing adverbial degrees:

Table 4. 13
The Percentage Result of Students' Score Classification

| Criterion | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 3 | $8.57 \%$ |


| Good | 17 | $48.57 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fair | 9 | $25.71 \%$ |
| Poor | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Very poor | 6 | $17.14 \%$ |
| Total | 35 | $100 \%$ |

The table above shows that $8.57 \%$ or 3 students met the excellent criteria, $48.57 \%$ or 17 students met the good criteria, $25.71 \%$ or 9 students met the fair criteria, $0 \%$ or 0 students met the poor criteria, and $17.14 \%$ or 6 students met the very poor criteria.

## c. The form of superlative degree in adverb

The general frequency of students' ability in superlative form in adverbs can be seen in the following table:

Table 4. 14
General Frequency of Students' Ability in Superlative Form

| No. | Student | Score | Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | AD | 20 | Very poor |
| 2. | DPN | 0 | Very poor |
| 3. | FK | 0 | Very poor |
| 4. | GZS | 20 | Very poor |
| 5. | MY | 20 | Very poor |
| 6. | NR | 60 | Fair |
| 7. | SL | 0 | Very poor |
| 8. | AE | 40 | Very poor |
| 9. | AM | 20 | Very poor |
| 10. | AGF | 40 | Very poor |
| 11. | A | 40 | Very poor |
| 12. | CA | 60 | Fair |
| 13. | EA | 20 | Very poor |
| 14. | FR | 40 | Very poor |
| 15. | HR | 40 | Very poor |
| 16. | IAM | 100 | Excellent |
| 17. | MDS | 60 | Fair |
| 18. | MMP | 40 | Very poor |
| 19. | MIJ | 0 | Very poor |
| 20. | NC | 20 | Very poor |
| 21. | RPF | 0 | Very poor |
| 22. | NF | 40 | Very poor |
| 23. | OG | 20 | Very poor |
| 24. | QHG | 20 | Very poor |
| 25. | R | 40 | Very poor |
| 26. | RW | 100 | Excellent |
| 27. | S | 40 | Very poor |
| 28. | SMP | 0 | Very poor |


| 29. | RCZ | 100 | Excellent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30. | RAA | 80 | Good |
| 31. | SFZS | 0 | Very poor |
| 32. | TAP | 40 | Very poor |
| 33. | TMS | 60 | Fair |
| 34. | TFM | 80 | Good |
| 35. | YW | 80 | Good |
| Total | 35 | 38.29 | Very poor |

Three students scored 100 , three scored 80 , four scored 60 , eleven got scores 40 , eight scored 20 , and seven scored 0 , as can be seen in the table. Overall, the average students' positive degree score in adverbs was 38.29.

The following table shows the percentage of students who were proficient in employing superlative degree adverbs:

Table 4.15
The Percentage Result of Students' Score Classification

| Criterion | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 3 | $8.57 \%$ |
| Good | 3 | $8.57 \%$ |
| Fair | 4 | $11.43 \%$ |
| Poor | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Very poor | 25 | $71.43 \%$ |
| Total | 35 | $100 \%$ |

Based on the table above, $8.57 \%$ or 3 students are at the excellent criteria, $8.57 \%$ or 3 students are at the good criteria, $11.43 \%$ or 4 students are at the fair criteria, $0 \%$ or 0 students are at poor criteria, and $71.43 \%$ or 25 students are at very poor criteria.

According to the data analysis for each category above, it can be said that students' ability in using degrees of comparison in adjective and adverb is as follow:

1. Students' ability in using degrees of comparison in adjective

Table 4.16
Type and Percentage of Ability in Learning
Degrees of Comparison

| No. | Types | Average <br> score | Criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The form of <br> positive degree in <br> adjective | 55.43 | Fair |
| 2. | The form of <br> comparative degree | 62.86 | Fair |


|  | in adjective |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. | The form of <br> superlative degree <br> in adjective | 77.14 | Good |
|  | Average | 65.14 | Fair |

According to the above data, the students' ability to use degrees of comparison in adjectives was 65.14 , which is considered fair.
2. Students' ability in using degrees of comparison in adverb

Table 4. 17
Type and Percentage of Ability in Learning Degrees of Comparison

| No. | Types | Average <br> Score | Criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The form of <br> positive degree in <br> adverb | 72.57 | Good |
| 2. | The form of <br> comparative <br> degree in adverb | 66.86 | Fair |
| 3. | The form of <br> superlative degree <br> in adverb | 38.29 | Very poor |
|  | Average | 59.24 | Fair |

From the table, it can be concluded that the students' ability to use degrees of comparison in adverb is 59.24 or belongs to fair.

## C. Overall Students' Ability in Degrees of Comparison

Table 4.18
The Result of Students' Ability in Using Degrees of Comparison

| No. | Students | Right Answer | Score | Quality |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | AD | 21 | 70 | Good |
| 2. | DPN | 24 | 80 | Good |
| 3. | FK | 17 | 57 | Fair |
| 4. | GZS | 21 | 70 | Good |
| 5. | MY | 21 | 70 | Good |
| 6. | NR | 27 | 90 | Excellent |
| 7. | SL | 20 | 67 | Fair |


| 8. | AE | 19 | 63 | Fair |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. | AM | 21 | 70 | Good |
| 10. | AGF | 24 | 80 | Good |
| 11. | A | 21 | 70 | Good |
| 12. | CA | 23 | 77 | Good |
| 13. | EA | 16 | 53 | Poor |
| 14. | FR | 15 | 50 | Poor |
| 15. | HR | 16 | 53 | Poor |
| 16. | IAM | 28 | 93 | Excellent |
| 17. | MDS | 13 | 43 | Very poor |
| 18. | MMP | 12 | 40 | Very poor |
| 19. | MIJ | 15 | 50 | Poor |
| 20. | NC | 8 | 27 | Very poor |
| 21. | RPF | 12 | 40 | Very poor |
| 22. | NF | 13 | 43 | Very poor |
| 23. | OG | 16 | 53 | Poor |
| 24. | QHG | 16 | 53 | Poor |
| 25. | R | 16 | 53 | Poor |
| 26. | RW | 25 | 83 | Good |
| 27. | S | 11 | 37 | Very poor |
| 28. | SMP | 15 | 50 | Poor |
| 29. | RCZ | 26 | 87 | Excellent |
| 30. | RAA | 27 | 90 | Excellent |
| 31. | SFZS | 3 | 10 | Very poor |
| 32. | TAP | 15 | 50 | Poor |
| 33. | TMS | 24 | 80 | Good |
| 34. | TFM | 25 | 83 | Good |
| 35. | YW | 24 | 80 | Good |

As shown in table above, one student scored 93 , two scored 90 , one scored 87 , two scored 83 , four scored 80 , one scored 77 , five scored 70 , one scored 67 , one scored 63 , one scored 57 , five scored 53 , four scored 50 , two scored 43 , two scored 40 , one scored 37 , one scored 27 , and one scored 10 out of a possible 100 . The diagram below illustrates these explanations:

Figure 4.1
Graphic of Data Analysis


Table 4.19
The Percentage Result of Students' Score Classification

| Level of Ability | Frequency (f) | Percentage (P) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 4 | $11.43 \%$ |
| Good | 12 | $34.29 \%$ |
| Fair | 3 | $8.57 \%$ |
| Poor | 9 | $25.71 \%$ |
| Very poor | 7 | $20 \%$ |
| Total | 35 | $100 \%$ |

The table above shows that $11.43 \%$ or 4 students met the excellent criteria, $34.29 \%$ or 12 students met the good criteria, $8.57 \%$ or 3 students met the fair criteria, $25.71 \%$ or 9 students are the poor criteria, and $20 \%$ or 7 met the very poor criteria.

The result of students' score classification can be seen in the following graphic:
Figure 4.2
Graphic of Data Analysis


Based on the findings, it can be said that the English Language Education Program at Universitas Negeri Padang students have strong competence in comparing adjective and adverb degrees or belongs to good.

## D. Causes of students' ability to use degrees of comparison

After analyzing the data, the researcher interviewed five students who received high and low scores to determine why they had good or bad mastering degrees of comparison. The interviewee was asked the following questions, which are listed below:

1. What do you think about degrees of comparison?
2. In what aspects do you have difficulty understanding degrees of comparison?
3. What causes of difficulty in understanding and mastering degrees of comparison?
4. What makes it easy for you to understand and master the degrees of comparison?

## Answers:

Student 1

1. I think it is not too difficult because I already know when to use "more/most" or "-er/-est.
2. Condition where I have to use "more ... / most ..." or "-er / -est". Sometimes, I use my feeling to think logically and make the correct answer. (This method sometimes not works properly, incorrect answer may occur)
3. Read the sentence over and over. Example: Put your answer in the blank, and read. First, you use "more ... / most .... Then, If they are not appropriate to used. Change it to "-er / -est" and vice versa.

## Student 2

1. I think degree of comparison is not too difficult if we understand the concept. Because I find it difficult to analyze the sentences that use it. It's hard for me.
2. The difficulty in the used of superlative and comparative in sentence. I have problem on how to analyze the difference to used it in sentence.
3. I'm not careful and don't study enough to understand it better. Besides that, I also forgot the concept because the learning was online. The notes are also not too many so it is difficult to distinguish the superlative and the comparative.

## Student 3

1. I think the degree of comparison is not that difficult. Because we can use keywords like more, less, the most, and others.
2. That is the language aspect itself. Because English is a foreign language so it is difficult to really get into the brain so that it is difficult for us to understand it.
3. I think the reason is because of the lack of vocabulary mastery in the form of adjectives and adverbs

Student 4

1. It's difficult for me.
2. I guess I don't have difficulty in understanding degree of comparison, but I think there is a lack of clarity in the instructions of question form so that I don't understand how I should answer the question.
3. I think the thing that makes it easy to understand the degree of comparison is when we know how many syllables are there in the adv or adj so thatwe know how to compose sentences using -er/more or -est/most in comparisons of adjective or adverb.

## Student 5 <br> 1. Easy for me but sometimes I forget the structure of the degree of comparison and hesitate to distinguish superlative and comparative sentences. <br> 2. I hesitate sometimes to distinguish between superlative and comparative sentence. <br> 3. The difficulty is that I sometimes forget the structure of degree of comparison and hesitate to distinguish between superlative and comparative sentences. Example: I hesitate when I should use "more/most" or "er/est" in the sentence.

## Discussion

Based on the findings of this study, the fifth semester students of the English Language Education Program of UNP discussed the degrees of comparison in adjectives and adverbs. In this study, three categories of each degree of comparison between adjectives and adverbs were tested. Meanwhile, previous studies have only focused on the degrees of comparison in adjectives with three categories.

The results of this study revealed that the score of students' ability to use positive degrees in adjective was 55.43 or belongs to fair, the score of students' ability to use a comparative degree in adjectives was 62.86 , belonging to fair, and 77.14, or good for superlative degree in adjectives. This indicates that the average of students' scores in degrees of comparison in adjective was 65.14 or belongs to fair.

This finding differs from that of a previous study. For instance, According to Shusantie (2011), 16,66\% of students had mastered the comparative form, 33,77\% had mastered the superlative form, and $42,105 \%$ had mastered the use of both comparative and superlative degrees. This implies that fewer than $50 \%$ of the students have mastered the use of degrees of comparison.

The second research question focused on the students' ability to use degrees of comparison in adverbs. The results showed that the score of students' ability to use a positive degree in adverbs was 72.57 , the score of students' ability to use a comparative degree in adverbs was 66.86 , belonging to fair, and 38.29 , or very poor for a superlative degree in adverbs. This indicates that the average of students' scores in degrees of comparison in adjective was 59.24 or belongs to fair.

In this second research question, the researcher had difficulty finding similar studies on the degrees of comparison in adverbs. Therefore, the researcher did not compare how the degrees of comparison in adverbs are similar to those in previous studies.

In general, $11.43 \%$, or four students, had an excellent level. As Student 4 said, "Degrees of comparison are not that hard for me." A total of $34.29 \%$ (12 students) had good levels. As Student 2 said, I think the degree of comparison is not too difficult". $8.57 \%$ or 3 students had a fair level, $25.71 \%$ or 9 students had a poor level, and $20 \%$ or seven students had very poor levels. This means that the students' ability to master the degree of comparison in adjectives and adverbs is good.

The third research question focused on the causes of students' abilities to use degrees of comparison. According to the results of the interview, degrees of comparison are not too difficult, but sometimes they forget the structure of degrees of comparison. As student 5 said, "I forgot the structure of degree of comparison and hesitated to distinguish between superlative and comparative". Some of them thought that degrees of comparison are easy because they read the sentence over and over and they were familiar with sentences that use "-er/-est". As student 1 illustrate "I think it is not too difficult because I already know when to use "more/most" or "-er-est". Also, they knew how many syllables were there in the adjective or adverb, so that they knew how to compose sentences using "-er/more" or "-est/most" in degrees of comparison in adjective and adverb. In addition, there are some reasons why students have low mastery in degrees of comparison are that they frequently confuse which words to add the prefix more or most, as well as the suffix -er or -est. Moreover, this condition was further supported by a study by Shusantie (2011), who found that students frequently get confused about which word needs to be added with the prefix more or most, as well as the suffix -er or -st/-est, which is the most common cause of low mastery in degrees of comparison.

## CONCLUSION

According to the results and findings, it can be concluded that the scores of students in items with positive degrees of adjectives were 55.43, 62.86, and 77.14, respectively. This indicates that students' ability of degrees of comparison in adjective is 65.14 or belongs to fair. In terms of adverbs, the scores of students for items with a positive degree of adverb were $72.57,66.86$ for comparative degree, and 38.29 , respectively. This means that students' mastery of degrees of comparison in adverb is 59.24 or belongs to enough.

Moreover, based on the interview and the answers of students in the Google form, there are some reasons why English language education program students in the fifth semester had difficulty in mastering degrees of comparison: they misplaced the article in the superlative form, forgot to add than after the adjective in the comparative form, did not know how to change words that ended in $-y$ to $-i$ and add -er/-est, and did not understand the use of irregular forms. They were also unsure of how to use the prefix more for comparative and most for superlative, or the suffix -er for comparative and -st/-est for superlative.
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