ATTITUDES OF STUDENTS AT FKIP UNIVERSITAS RIAU TOWARD COMPUTER-ASSISTED LANGUAGE LEARNING (CALL)

Drs. Masyhur, M. Ed. FKIP Universitas Riau, Provinsi Riau, Indonesia Email: masyhurr20@yahoo.com

Abstract

This paper identified attitudes toward CALL of students studying English as a foreign language (EFL) at FKIP Universitas Riau. Seventy students who were enrolled in the orientation year of an English program were chosen to participate in this study by expressing their attitudes toward CALL. Standardized and local instruments were used along with interviews and observation techniques to collect data. The results of the study revealed that students had positive attitudes toward CALL. Looking at the daily hours students spend using a computer, a slight correlation was found between this variable and the students' attitudes toward CALL. Other variables, such as students' background knowledge of English, ownership of a computer, and their computer knowledge, were found to be irrelevant to their attitudes toward CALL. These results were in line with previous research conducted by Al-Shammari (2007), Alrumaih (2004), and Almekhlafi (2006). The results reinforced conclusions about CALL revealed by researchers, such as Chen (2003), Chikamatsu (2003), Egbert (2005) and Levy (2005), who found that it helps students learn better and more independently, and gives them the ability to have more control of their learning and to have more opportunities to practice English.

Keywords: attitudes, CALL, local instrument

A. INTRODUCTION

CALL has recently become a focus of researchers who believe that computers are an effective teaching aid. Westerners were the first to adopt this practice, and now it is being accepted by practitioners in the rest of the world, including those in Indonesia. At Riau University, CALL was implemented in 2004 in English programs presented to students for four semesters. Students must take an English course for four semesters in addition to the subjects they are taking in their majors at the University Language Center.

To my knowledge, no previous studies have been conducted to explore the attitudes toward CALL of students in English programs offered by Riau University Language Center. Understanding the students' attitudes will assist the language center in understanding the nature of the students' requirements in such English programs and how they can enhance these programs for the students in the future.

Before starting reviewing literature on CALL, it is valuable to give some definitions about CALL along with highlighting some points about the benefits which stand behind CALL. Definitions in particular give details on how researchers define CALL as a term used in the learning process.

Previous researchers have come up with slightly differing definitions of CALL. Egbert (2005), for example, defined CALL as "using computers to support language teaching and learning in some way" (p. 4). Her definition covers all language skills with no exception. Beatty (2003) understood CALL as "any process in which a learner uses a computer and, as a result,

improves his or her language" (p. 7). Similarly, Levy (1997), stated that CALL is "the search for and study of applications of the computer in language teaching and learning" (p. 1).

As far as the benefits of CALL are concerned, Frommer (1998) argued that CALL benefits learners by: exposing students to larger quantities of text, images, and authentic materials; increasing time on task in an efficient way; and allowing students to assume responsibility for their own learning. Additionally, Cubillos (1998) cited further benefits of CALL, stating that it can facilitate vocabulary learning; increase students' awareness of language structure through more sophisticated error- feedback programs; support reading and writing development; help teachers keep track of students' processing of language; facilitate students' exploration of the target culture; enhance motivation.

Son (2002) stated that various kinds of approaches to CALL development and use have been attempted by language teachers, including English as second/foreign language(ESL/EFL) teachers. While they have expanded their views of CALL through a number of research studies on the effectiveness of CALL, they have tried to investigate specific ways that CALL provides better learning and facilitates the learning process. There is a universal belief in computers as a key factor in the learning process (Ayres,2002; Bayraktar, 2002; Charischak, 2000; Chikamatsu, 2003; Cushion & Dominique, 2002; Egbert, Paulus, & Nakamichi, 2002; Fenfang, 2003; Jung, 2002; Nesselhauf & Tschichold,2002; Robert, 2002; Schwienhorst, 2002; Vrtacnik, Sajovec, Dolnicar, Pucko, Glazar & Brouwer 2000).

According to Almekhlafi (2001, as cited in Robert, 2002), the use of computers to assist learners in their language studies has increased phenomenally over the past decade. Although CALL is a new aid for those teaching English, researchers have found that it helps students learn better and more independently, while giving them the ability to have more control of their learning and more opportunities to practice English (Almekhlafi, 2006; Egbert,2005). Many of those involved in teaching foreign languages, particularly English, have clearly proven the importance of CALL for those learning and teaching foreign languages (Lee and VanPatten, 2003; Fotos and Browne, 2004; Gravetter and Wallnau, 2007).

In general, students learning any subject are very interested in using computers as an aid. Moreover, as Levy (2005) stated, computers are not intended only for students but also for teachers, without whose assistance this aid cannot be fully utilized. Researchers have found that teachers' attitudes toward the introduction of CALL were positive, reinforcing the role of computers as an essential aid in learning a foreign language (Lin and Miller, 2005).

Both Egbert (2010) and Palaigeorgiou, Siozos, Konstantakis and Tsoukalas (2005) wrote that CALL is a technique that adds an interesting atmosphere for students learning a foreign language and that it has a direct impact on a whole range of language acquisition skills. This is also the opinion expressed by Pennington (2004) and Purushotma (2005), who claim that CALL is now regarded as the latest influence on teaching and learning. The most interesting point revealed by these authors is that CALL eliminates the barriers between teachers and students. According to the argument of Snowman and Biehler (2006), CALL makes the learning process easier since the barrier between teachers and students is considered to be one of the factors resulting in the students' failure to learn a foreign language.

Others raised some negative aspects of CALL. For example, Lee (2000) said that "engaging in Computer Assisted Language Learning is a continuous challenge that requires time and commitment" (p. 5). Many academic institutions are now aware of this fact. Similarly, Chen (1996) is more pessimistic about the utilization of CALL and believes that it is not yet a complete platform. Chen stated that this particular aid cannot be fully adopted until a picture of

its benefits is completed. This seems reasonable in the light of the fact that some students and teachers, despite being familiar with the role played by a computer, still do not have a full recognition of its applications.

However, many researchers have been working hard on easing the process, particularly in the last ten years. Lee (2000) pointed to the following reasons for using CALL: (a) experiential learning; (b) motivation; (c) enhancing student achievement; (d) authentic materials for study; (e) greater interaction; (f) individualization; (g) independence from a single source of information; and (h) global understanding. Chapelle (1997) emphasized that the pedagogical goal of CALL activities is for learners to improve their ability in the target language by participating in linguistic interactions. She went further to indicate that learners using CALL have an opportunity to work together through oral language or written conversations. In ELT, in particular, CALL is essential. Several researchers, such as Wiazowski (1998) and Kitao (1993 and 1994) agreed on its importance.

B. DISCUSSION

Objectives of the study

The purpose of this study was to identify the students' attitudes towards Computer-Assisted Language Learning in the English programs at Riau University Language Center. Having this knowledge will enhance researchers' and officials' understanding regarding the most effective way to run English programs. By understanding learners' attitudes toward CALL, they could make the use of CALL more effectively in order to help learners, instructors, and administrators to gain the ultimate benefits from this technology. In specific, the study sought to answer the following questions:

- A. What are the attitudes of the FKIP students toward CALL in general?
- B. What are the students' attitudes toward the CALL software used in the English programs at Riau University Language Center?
- C. Is there a relationship between the learners' attitudes toward CALL based on their background knowledge of English, their ownership of a computer, the hours they spend using a computer, and their computer knowledge.

Methodology and instrumentation

To determine the students' attitudes towards CALL, standardized and local instruments were used. The first instrument was the Computer Attitude Scale (CAS) as developed by Loyd and Gressard (1984a & 1984b). The CAS consists of 40 items that are divided into three categories: computer anxiety, computer confidence, and computer usefulness. Each subscale consists of 10 items and is based on a four-point Likert scale (strongly agree, slightly agree, slightly disagree, and strongly disagree). For this test, higher scores indicate more positive attitudes toward computers. This instrument has been used widely by researchers for measuring students' attitudes towards the use of computer, and its validity and reliability make it highly acceptable as one of the most reliable instruments used for such a purpose.

The other instrument was a scale developed by Al-Shammari (2007) for the purpose of measuring the attitudes of students in Saudi Arabia towards CALL. The instrument is called LOCALL and consists of 30 items that are put into three subscales. One measures general attitudes toward computers, the second one examines attitudes toward the use of computers in language instruction (20 items). Finally, the last subscale includes items on the attitudes toward the CALL lab (ease of use and integration into the English language program). The scale

is based on a five-point Likert-scale (strongly agree, agree, uncertain, disagree, and strongly disagree). Higher scores indicate more positive attitudes toward computers.

The present researcher also employed observations and interviews to further support the findings obtained and seek data to answer the three questions posed in this study. It is known that observations and interviews are techniques used to complement the questionnaire and to ensure greater validity. Observation was necessary because it provided further support to data obtained from the other instruments used for collecting data. Different groups of students using the computer in the CALL classrooms were observed. Afterwards, 70 students were interviewed concerning their attitudes towards CALL.

Sampling and procedures

Two sections that included 70 students in the second semester of FKIP Students at Riau University were selected for this study. They consisted of 43 male and 27 female students. The participants were all Indonesian and had the same learning situations, such as the same sized classroom, the same English textbook, the same amount of exposure, and the same availability of teaching aids. They were all native speakers of Bahasa Indonesia, aged 19 to 20. They had all experienced 6 years of English instruction in junior high schools and senior high schools prior to enrolling in the English programs Riau University Language Center they attended.

The questionnaire was distributed by the researcher himself, who was present during their administration to prevent any ambiguity. Although students probably had an adequate background in English to understand the items in the questionnaire, I translated all items on the standardized scale as there had been no prior Indonesian translation of them. After the CAS questionnaires were completed and returned, I handed out the other questionnaire (LOCALL). The version used was in Bahasa Indonesia, and again I was present to prevent any ambiguity.

Results

All data were analyzed using SPSS. A descriptive analysis was adopted in order to answer the research questions on students' attitudes towards CALL based on standardized and local five- and four-point Likert scale questionnaires. The mean value and standard deviation were obtained for this purpose. Higher scores indicated that students had more positive attitudes to CALL. The survey scores were all combined and divided by the number of items on the questionnaires in order to get the overall mean. For the observation, notes were used, so no statistical analysis was possible. A descriptive analysis was adopted for the interviews using percentages. Moreover, since question number three on the research measured correlations among students concerning four variables (their background in English, ownership of a computer, hours they spend using a computer, and their computer knowledge) the Pearson Correlation Test was used.

As Table 1 shows, the statistical analysis of the data strongly indicates that students attending English programs Riau University Language Center tended to exhibit positive attitudes toward their use of CALL in their English programs. The mean value obtained (2.7) and the standard deviation both confirmed that students hold slightly positive attitudes toward CALL, a result that met my expectations that students have a positive attitudes toward CALL in their classrooms. The results also matched the findings of previous researchers such as Al-Shammari (2007) and Almekhlafi (2006).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of students' general attitudes toward CALL at Riau University Language Center

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum Score	Maximum Score
General Attitudes toward CALL*	2.67	.1661	2.35	3.35

^{*}General attitudes toward CALL = computer anxiety, computer confidence, and computer usefulness

Table 2 displays the mean values along with the standard deviation of the students' attitudes. The data here indicate that the students were more in favor of using CALL software in their English programs, because they exhibited positive attitudes toward the software. This result is in line with the results obtained for question one, so one can conclude that the attitudes of students concerning CALL were entirely positive.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of students' attitudes toward CALL software at the Saudi industrial colleges

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum Score	Maximum Score
Attitudes toward CALL Software*	3.45	.729	1.70	4.47

^{*}Attitudes toward CALL software = general attitudes toward computers, attitudes toward the use of computers in language instruction, and the attitudes toward the CALL lab (ease of use and integration into the English language program).

The observation sessions of students attending the classes with CALL confirmed that the students were enthusiastic about using CALL in their English programs. Some students noted that they needed more classes that use CALL, which leads one to have the impression that the students' attitudes toward CALL are promising. In addition, the interviews with several students attending classes in which CALL is used revealed that the majority of them held positive attitudes toward the use of computers in general and CALL Software in particular. Such a result reinforces the results obtained by other instruments that measured the students' attitudes toward CALL.

Table 3. Pearson Correlation Test of students' attitudes toward CALL based on their background knowledge of English, ownership of a computer, hours they spend using a computer, and their computer knowledge

Variable	P. V	P. Value		Sig. (2-tailed)	
Background knowledge of English	.066	.067	.590	.500	
Ownership of computer	.037	.030	.763	.807	
Hours spent on the computer	.107	.267	.377	.026	
Computer knowledge	.095	.055	.435	.653	

Note. CAS = Computer Attitude Scale; LOCALL = Saudi Arabia CALL Scale; correlation is significant at 0.05.

The Pearson Correlation Test was used to answer this question. As shown in Table 3, apart from the hours students spend on the computer, none of the other variables mentioned above was found to have any correlation with the students' attitudes toward their use of CALL. However, a correlation was found between students' attitudes and the number of hours they spend using a computer. Nevertheless, this correlation was rather slight (.267). One more notable point is that this slight correlation appeared only on the LOCALL Scale, which measures the students' attitudes toward CALL software. The result obtained for this correlation shows that the more hours students spend working on a computer, the more positive attitudes they have toward CALL. A similar finding to this correlation was revealed by Al-Shammari (2007). However, the researcher accepted partially his hypothesis that stated that there is no relationship amongst Saudi EFL learners' attitudes toward CALL based on their background knowledge of English, their ownership of a computer, the hours spent on the computer, and their computer knowledge.

The study revealed that Indonesian learners attending English programs at Riau University Language Institute had positive attitudes toward the use of CALL and the use of CALL software. The results obtained are in line with the researcher' observations during his experience in teaching English at the language center. Furthermore, the current results coincided with the results obtained by previous research conducted worldwide and in Saudi Arabia by Al-Shammari (2007) and Alrumaih (2004).

The results obtained revealed that apart from the minimal correlation to daily hours that students spend on the computer, no correlation was found among the four variables, namely, students' background knowledge of English, ownership of a computer, hours spent using a computer, and their computer knowledge.

C.CONCLUSIONS

There is no doubt that the attitudes of students attending foreign language learning courses have an impact on their achievement. Thus, one can assume that the more positive their attitudes are towards the target language, the more progress they can obtain. The attitudes towards the use of technology as a teaching and learning aid are related to this belief. Thus, the more positive the students' attitudes are towards the use of a computer, the more progress they will make. Most researchers have found that CALL helps students improve their achievement, so one can safely assume that CALL is an effective teaching aid and is a component that cannot be ignored by those teaching foreign languages in general and English in particular. The main objective of this paper was to determine the attitudes that students enrolled in English programs at Riau University Language Center in Pekanbaru Riau about the use of CALL.

The results of the study showed that students hold positive attitudes, so we can also assume that they accept the computer as an effective teaching aid in learning English. The positive attitudes were expected, and this can be attributed to several factors. First, students feel that CALL helps them to make greater progress, which leads to their success in the course. Another factor is that students have a background of computer usage, so they want their programs to use computers. One more factor is that these days students are more aware of the importance of technology in learning than those in the past. Their awareness may be attributed to what they read on the Internet or in newspapers, see on TV, or what they listen to on the radio. All these influences should be taken into account when English programs attempt to measure the attitudes of students towards the use of CALL. Finally, apart from the daily hours students spend using a computer, for which a slight correlation was found between this variable and the



students' attitudes toward CALL, other variables, such as students' background knowledge of English, ownership of a computer, and their computer knowledge were found not relevant to their attitudes toward CALL.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ahmad, K., Corbett, G., Rogers, M., and Sussex, R. (1985) Computers, Language Learning and Language Teaching. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Al-Khaldi, M., & Al-Jabri, I. (1998). The relationship of attitudes to computer utilization: New evidence from a developing nation. Computers in Human Behaviors, 17(4), 23-42.
- Almekhlafi, A. G. (2001). Instructional media for teachers' preparation. International Journal of Instructional Media, 28(2), 191-207.
- Al-Shammari, M. H. (2007). Saudi English as a Foreign Language Learners' Attitudes toward Computer- Assisted Language Learning. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, West Virginia University, Morgantown.
- Ayres, R. (2002). Learner attitudes toward the use of CALL. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 15(3), 241-
- Bax, S. (2003). CALL past, present and future. System, 31, 13-28.
- Bayraktar, S. (2002). A meta-analysis of computer-assisted instruction in science education. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 34(2), 173-188.
- Chapelle, C. (1997). CALL in the year 2000: Still in search of research paradigms? Language and Learning Technology, 1(1), 19-43.
- Charischak, I. (2000). A look at technology's role in professional development of mathematics teachers at the middle school level. School Science and Mathematics, 100(7), 349-354.
- Chen, J. F. (1996). CALL is not a hammer and not every teaching problem is a nail: Changing expectations of computers in the classroom. The Internet TESL Journal, 2(7), 1-4.
- Chen, P. (2003). EFL Student Learning Style Preferences and Attitudes Toward Technology-Integrated Instruction. (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from Dissertations Abstracts International, (2813).
- Chikamatsu, N. (2003). The effects of computer use on L2 Japanese writing. Foreign Language Annals, 36(1), 114-127.
- Cubillos, J. H. (1998). Technology: A step forward in the teaching of foreign languages? In J. Harper, M. Lively,& M. Williams (Eds.), The Coming of Age of the Profession: Issues and Emerging Ideas for the Teaching of Foreign Languages (pp. 199-223). Boston: Heinle & Heinle.
- Cushion, S., & Hemard, D. (2002). Applying new technological developments to CALL for Arabic. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 15(5), 501-508.
- Egbert, J. (Ed.). (2010). CALL in Limited Technology Contexts. San Marcos, TX: CALICO.



- Egbert, J., Paulus, T. M., & Nakamichi, Y. (2002). The impact of CALL instruction on classroom computer use: A foundation for rethinking technology in teacher education. Language Learning and Technology, 6(3),108-126.
- Egbert. J. (2005). CALL Essentials: Principles and Practice in CALL Classrooms. Virginia: TESOL.
- Fenfang, H. (2003). Learners' behaviors in computer-based input activities elicited through tracking technologies. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 16(1), 5-29.
- Fotos, S., & Browne, C. (2004). The development of CALL and current options. In S. Fotos & C. Brown (Eds.), New Perspectives on CALL for Second and Foreign Language Classrooms (pp. 3-14). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Jabir, M., & Omar, A. (2002). Students' and teachers' attitudes toward computers in the schools in southern governorates of Jordan. Dirasat: Educational Sciences, 27(2), 312-327.
- Lee, K. W. (2000). English teachers' barriers to the use of computer-assisted language learning. The Internet TESL Journal, 6(12). Retrieved from http://iteslj.org/Articles/Lee CALLbarriers.html.
- Levy, M. (1997). Computer-Assisted Language Learning, Context and Conceptualization. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press.
- Levy, M. (2005). Why call CALL "CALL"?. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 18(3), 143-149.
- Palaigeorgiou, G. E., Siozos, P. D., Konstantakis, N.I., & Tsoukalas, I.A. (2005). A computer attitude scale for computer science freshmen and its educational implications. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 21, 330-342.
- In S. Fotos & C. Brown (Eds.). New Perspectives on CALL for Second and Foreign Language Classrooms (pp. 69-92). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Robert, A. (2002). Learner attitudes towards the use of CALL. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 15(3),241-249.
- Stevens, V. (1991). A study of student attitudes toward CALL in a self-access student resource centre. System, 19(3), 289-299.
- Warschauer, M., & Healey, D. (1998). Computers and language learning: An overview. Language Teaching, 31,57-71.